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# Objective

To explore the use of a Deep Convolutional Generative Adversarial Network (DCGAN) for image augmentation and compare its impact on classification performance against traditional augmentation techniques like flipping, rotating, and zooming.

# Dataset

Dataset: Citrus Leaves (from TensorFlow Datasets)  
Classes: 4 disease categories  
Split: 80% Train, 10% Validation, 10% Test

Sample:

A green and yellow leaf

AI-generated content may be incorrect.

# Pipeline Summary

* Load and preprocess the citrus leaves dataset with normalization and resizing.
* Perform traditional data augmentation using `tf.keras.layers.RandomFlip`, `RandomRotation`, and `RandomZoom`.
* Build a CNN classifier and train it on the traditional dataset.
* Evaluate model performance on both validation and test sets.
* Implement and train a DCGAN using resized, normalized citrus images.
* Generate synthetic citrus images using the trained GAN.
* Save and reload synthetic images, convert them to RGB, normalize, and assign a dummy label.
* Concatenate original and GAN-generated images into a new training dataset.
* Build a CNN classifier and train it on the augmented dataset.
* Evaluate model performance on both validation and test sets.

## CNN Classifier Architecture

- Input: 180x180x3  
- 3 Convolutional layers with increasing filters (16, 32, 64)  
- MaxPooling after each convolution  
- Flatten → Dense(128) → Output layer (Softmax, 4 classes)  
- Loss: Sparse Categorical Crossentropy  
- Optimizer: Adam

# Results

Model trained for 5 epochs. Accuracy was okay:

**Epoch 1/5**

**15/15 ━━━━━━━━━━━━━━━━━━━━ 8s 252ms/step - accuracy: 0.3377 - loss: 3.0964 - val\_accuracy: 0.2500 - val\_loss: 1.2612**

**Epoch 2/5**

**15/15 ━━━━━━━━━━━━━━━━━━━━ 3s 175ms/step - accuracy: 0.3156 - loss: 1.3061 - val\_accuracy: 0.4000 - val\_loss: 1.2287**

**Epoch 3/5**

**15/15 ━━━━━━━━━━━━━━━━━━━━ 4s 242ms/step - accuracy: 0.3957 - loss: 1.2739 - val\_accuracy: 0.5500 - val\_loss: 1.1759**

**Epoch 4/5**

**15/15 ━━━━━━━━━━━━━━━━━━━━ 3s 175ms/step - accuracy: 0.4615 - loss: 1.2139 - val\_accuracy: 0.5333 - val\_loss: 1.1170**

**Epoch 5/5**

**15/15 ━━━━━━━━━━━━━━━━━━━━ 5s 192ms/step - accuracy: 0.4154 - loss: 1.1881 - val\_accuracy: 0.4833 - val\_loss: 1.0215**

Final Test DS Accuracy: Accuracy 0.47457626461982727

Final Augmented Test DS Accuracy: Accuracy 0.47457626461982727

# Summary, the similar accuracy suggests that the model is robust and that the augmentations didn’t distort the images enough to mislead the classifier.it means the model can handle natural variations well.

DCGAN Pipeline Summary

**1. Dataset Preparation**

• **Source:** citrus\_leaves dataset from tensorflow\_datasets.

• **Split:**

• Train: 80%

• Validation: 10%

• Test: 10%

• **Preprocessing:**

• Resize images to 56×56 (smaller input to speed up GAN training).

• Normalize pixel values to [-1, 1] to match the tanh activation in the generator output.

• Drop labels (unsupervised learning).

**2. DCGAN Architecture**

**Generator:**

• Input: 100-dim noise vector

• Layers:

• Dense → Reshape to 7×7×256

• 3 × Conv2DTranspose to upsample to 56×56×3

• Final activation: tanh

**Discriminator:**

• Input: 56×56×3 image

• Layers:

• 2 × Conv2D + LeakyReLU + Dropout

• Flatten → Dense(1) (logit output)

• Final activation: None (logits used for BinaryCrossentropy)

Sample image during GAN training  
  
A collage of black spots

AI-generated content may be incorrect.

**3. Training Process**

• Loss Functions:

• **Discriminator:** Real → 1, Fake → 0

• **Generator:** Fool discriminator → wants output = 1

• Optimizers: Adam (lr = 1e-4)

• Trained for multiple epochs

• Saved output images at each epoch using a fixed noise seed

• Total synthetic images generated: **173**

**4. Synthetic Image Integration**

• Generated .png images were saved as image\_at\_epoch\_XXXX.png

• Moved to generated\_images/ folder

• Loaded using image\_dataset\_from\_directory

• Converted from grayscale to RGB

• Normalized to [-1, 1], resized to 180×180 to match classifier input

• Assigned dummy label (class 0) for integration

• Concatenated with original training data using tf.data.Dataset.concatenate

Sample image from Augmentation DS  
A green leaf on a white background

AI-generated content may be incorrect.

**5. Classification & Evaluation**

• **Classifier:** CNN with 3 Conv layers + Dense layers

• **Training Data:** Real citrus images + 173 GAN-generated images

• **Training Duration:** 10 epochs

• **Validation Accuracy:** Reached **90%** by epoch 7

• **Final Test Accuracy:** **~79.7%**

• **Final Test Loss:** **0.58**

• **Observation:** GAN-based augmentation improved generalization and accelerated learning in early epochs

# The model quickly improved after GAN-based augmentation, jumping from ~48% to ~95% train accuracy within 7 epochs.

# Validation accuracy peaked at 90% by epoch 7.

# Slight overfitting appeared near the end (training continued to improve, but val/test loss fluctuated).

# Despite that, the model maintained strong generalization with nearly 80% test accuracy, validating the effectiveness of GAN-generated data as a real augmentation strategy.

Future Work:

Implement class-conditional GANs to generate label-specific images for more meaningful augmentation in multi-class classification tasks.

Explore larger or imbalanced datasets to test the robustness of GAN-based augmentation.

Integrate automated quality filtering to discard low-fidelity generated samples before merging with training data.

Compare with other generative models like VAEs or diffusion models for augmentation performance.
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